

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/756,880	01/10/2001	Yohei Itoi	Q62522	6195	
75	19/23/2002				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C		
			1733	,	
		,	DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	A								
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	,				
	•	09/756,880		ITOI, YOHEI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Adrienne C. Johnste		1733					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sl	heet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Faill - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. TO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be tim Im of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from COME ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.				
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 /	August 2002 .							
2a)□	·	is action is non-fina	I.						
3)									
Disposit	ion of Claims	zx parto quayro, 10	, .						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	1.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.						
Applicat	ion Papers				-				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2001 is/are:								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	-						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner					
42\[If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-	1.						
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.0.0.0.140(a)) (d) or (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	1 priomy under 35 O	i.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (i).					
a)	All b)	a haya baan ragaiya	val.						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		aye				
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
	n) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachmen	-	-							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) 🔲 No	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-					

Art Unit 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of the species including the reinforcing cord layer arranged along an inside of the carcass turnup portion, claims 1-7 and 9-12 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

 Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 8 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5 (see paragraph 1 above).

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

To provide proper antecedent basis and to eliminate the vague "corresponding" language applicant should make the following amendments to the claims.

claim 1

line 7, change "one or more reinforcing cord layers" to -- a reinforcing cord layer -- (this does not exclude the presence of more than one reinforcing cord layer).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,880

Art Unit 1733

claims 2 and 3

line 3, change "corresponding" to -- equal -- .

claim 7

line 2, change "corresponding" to -- equal -- .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Boileau (3,904,463).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boileau (3,904,463).

See paragraph 7 above: it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such notoriously well known bead filler hardness in the Boileau tire.

10. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boileau (3,904,463) in view of European Patent Application 0 715 977 A2.

See paragraph 7 above: it is conventional to provide such a reinforcing sheet rubber in tires, as evidenced by EP '977 (p. 4 lines 5-22) for example; it would therefore have been obvious to provide such a conventional reinforcing sheet rubber in the Boileau tire.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,880
Art Unit 1733

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. French Patent 2 055 988 and Delias et al. (5,529,104) cited by applicant anticipate or render obvious at least claim 1 but are considered to be no more pertinent than Boileau against the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703)308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9311 for regular communications and (703)872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

alvanie C. Sturtue

Adrienne Johnstone October 21, 2002